

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,672	07/17/2006	Richard W. McCoy	18801-540	9573
McDonald Ho	7590 05/05/201 pkins Co	EXAMINER		
600 Superior A		VANTERPOOL, LESTER L		
Suite 2100 Cleveland, OH	[44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/533,672	MCCOY ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LESTER L. VANTERPOOL	3782		

	LESTER L. VANTERPOOL	3782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \(\text{The period for reply expires } \(\frac{3}{2} \) months from the mailing date b) \(The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection, but a final rejection in the first and final rejection. 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to	8 <u>.31 and 32</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Justin M Larson/ Primary Examiner, Art U 5/4/11	Jnit 3782	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/11 have been fully considered and are not found persuasive.

Applicant argues, Hayama does not disclose, teach or suggest the element "platform comprising a floor, a platform side wall extending vertically from the periphery of the floor".

Examiner disagrees, claim 1 does not set forth any orientation of the cargo container and or how the cargo container is attached to the vehicle. If a plane extends perpendicular from a plane (16B), then the perpendicular plane extends (upward or vertical) or downward from the horiztional plane. Hayama teaches the platform (See Figure 1) comprising the floor (16A), the interior platform side walls of (16C) extending vertically (See Figure 1) from the periphery of the floor (16C) (See Figures 1 & 3). Therefore, Hayama satisfies the limitation set forth in claim 1 of a cargo container connectable to a vehicle.

Applicant argues, the platform sidewall (16c) of Hayama does not extend vertically from the floor (16B) (emphasis added). Instead, the sidewall (16C) extends horizontally from (16B) (emphasis added); and t the platform (16) in Hayama would not be capable of supporting the cargo carrier (12) thus rendering the apparatus in Hayama inoperable.

Examiner disagrees, claim 1 does not recite or set forth the orientation or how the cargo carrier is connectable to a vehicle. Therefore, Hayama teaches a cargo container connectable to a vehicle and Hayama (clarify discloses the interior and exterior side wall (FIG) extending vertically from the platform floor (168). Figure 3 teaches the interior and exterior side wall St(FIG) of Hayama extending perpendicular from the floor (168). If a plane extends perpendicular from a plane (168), then the perpendicular plane extends or vertically or downward from the horiztional plane. Therefore, the interior and exterior side walls (16C) extends upward or vertical from the floor (168) (See Figures 18.3).

In addition, Figures 1 & 3 teaches the cargo carrier (12) supported and locked into place by the periphery vertical side walls (16C) via (21A, 22A 24A and 21B).